

National Science Foundation





UC San Diego JACOBS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING Structural Engineering

NHERI@UC San Diego: Description and Capabilities

Joel Conte, Professor University of California, San Diego



Lehigh Joint Researcher Workshop UC San Diego & SimCenter

September 23-24, 2019 Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA



Real-Time Multi-Directional Testing Facility

University of California at San Diego



Natural Hazards Engineering Research Infrastructure

SIMCENTER COMPUTATIONAL MODELING AND SIMULATION CENTER

Outline

- Overview of NHERI@UC San Diego Shake Table Experimental Facility
 - Description of Facility
 - Performance Characteristics
 - Capabilities and Limitations
- Select Set of Large-Scale Shake Table Tests Performed on the NHERI@UC San Diego Shake Table
- Six Degree-of-Freedom (6-DOF) Upgrade of Shake Table
- New Research Opportunities Made Possible by the 6-DOF LHPOST

Overview of Englekirk Structural Engineering Center (ESEC) and Large High-Performance Outdoor Shake Table (LHPOST)

Englekirk Structural Engineering Center (ESEC)



Location of NHERI@UCSD Site and Relation to the Englekirk Structural Engineering Center



Large High-Performance Outdoor Shake Table (LHPOST)

IAS Accreditation of ESEC



CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION

This is to attest that

ENGLEKIRK STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING CENTER

10201 POMERADO ROAD SAN DIEGO, CA 92131

Testing Laboratory TL-356

has met the requirements of AC89, *IAS Accreditation Criteria for Testing Laboratories*, and has demonstrated compliance with ISO/IEC Standard 17025:2005, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. This organization is accredited to provide the services specified in the scope of accreditation maintained on the IAS website (www.iasonline.org).

This certificate is valid up to April 1, 2019.



This accreditation certificate supersedes any IAS accreditation bearing an earlier effective date. The certificate becomes invalid upon suspension, cancellation or revocation of accreditation. See <u>www.iasonline.org</u> for current accreditation information, or contact IAS at 562-364-8201.



Raj Nathan President

15-1206

Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction Facility

Bridge Abutment - Soil Interaction (Caltrans)



Pile – soil interaction (Port of Los Angeles)









NEES@UCSD SHAKE TABLE 2004-2014

NHERI@UCSD SHAKE TABLE 2016-2020

Investigators & Senior Personnel: J. P. Conte, L. Van Den Einde, G. Mosqueda, T. Hutchinson, B. Shing, J. I. Restrepo, J. E. Luco

Staff: O. Ozcelik:Research Scientist & Site Operation ManagerD. McKay:Research & Development EngineerA. Sherman:Senior Development TechnicianR. Beckley:Site IT ManagerJ. Fitcher:Senior Development Technician

NHERI@UC San Diego Personnel













Joel Conte
PITara Hutchinson
Co-PIGilberto Mosqueda
Co-PIBenson Shing
Co-PILelli Van Den Einde
Co-PIJosé Restrepo
Senior PersonnelSite Admin.Site User Services
Site PerformanceSite OperationsEducation and
Community Outreach



Enrique Luco Senior Personnel



Ozgur Ozcelik Res. Scientist, Site Operations Manager



Darren McKay Res. & Dev. Engineer, Shake Table Operator



Robert Beckley IT Manager



Alex Sherman Site Foreman Development Technician



Jeremy Fitcher Development Technician

Objectives of the NHERI@UCSD Site

- The vision for the NHERI@UCSD Shake Table experimental facility is rooted on three critical needs for advancing the science, technology, and practice in earthquake disaster mitigation and prevention:
 - Fundamental knowledge for understanding the system-level behavior of buildings, critical facilities, bridges, and geo-structures during earthquakes, from the initiation of damage to the onset of collapse.
 - Experimental data to support the development, calibration and validation of high-fidelity physics-based computational models of structural/geotechnical/soil-foundation-structural systems that will progressively shift the current reliance on physical testing to model-based simulation for the seismic design and performance assessment of civil infrastructure systems.
 - Proof of concept, benchmark and validation/verification tests for seismic retrofit methods, protective systems, and the use of new materials, components, systems, and construction methods that can protect civil infrastructure systems against earthquakes.

Large High-Performance Outdoor Shake Table (LHPOST)

- Designed to permit accurate simulation of severe earthquake ground motions and, particularly, strong near-source ground motions.
- Lack of height limitation allows testing of full- or very large-scale structural specimens.
- Table designed in 2001-2002, built in 2002-2004, and commissioned on October 1, 2004, as part of the NSF NEES Network.
- 33 major research and commercial projects were conducted in 15 years of operation:
 - Reinforced concrete buildings and bridge column
 - Precast concrete parking structure
 - Unreinforced and reinforced masonry building structures
 - Metal building structures
 - Woodframe dwellings and buildings
 - Wind turbine
 - Soil retaining walls
 - Underground structures (deep and shallow)



Large High-Performance Outdoor Shake Table (LHPOST)



Performance Characteristics in Current 1-DOF Configuration

Designed as a 6-DOF	shake table, but built as	a 1-DOF system t	o accommodate fu	inding available
	· · · ·	2		

Stroke	± 0.75m
Platen Size	40 ft × 25 ft (12.2 m × 7.6 m)
Peak Velocity	1.8 m/sec
Peak Acceleration	4.7g (bare table condition); 1.2g (4.0MN/400 tons rigid payload)
Frequency Bandwidth	0-33 Hz
Horizontal Actuators Force Capacity	6.8 MN (680 tonf)
Vertical Payload Capacity	20 MN (2,000 tonf)
Overturning Moment Capacity	50 MN-m (5,000 tonf-m)

Capabilities/Provisions of NHERI@UCSD Site

- Simulation of near-source earthquake ground motions which involve large acceleration, velocity and displacement pulses.
- Seismic testing of **extensively instrumented large/full-scale structural specimens** under extreme earthquake loads at near real-world conditions.
- Seismic testing of extensively instrumented large-scale geotechnical and soil-foundation-structural systems by using the shake table in combination with large soil boxes.
- Basic capabilities for hybrid shake-table testing.
- Education of graduate, undergraduate, and K-12 students, as well as news media, policy makers, infrastructure owners, insurance and the general public, about natural disasters and the national need to develop effective technologies and policies to prevent these natural hazard events from becoming societal disasters.

Connection of Platen to Reaction Block



Mechanical and Servo-Hydraulic Components



Horizontal Actuators

Horizontal Actuators Specification		
Dynamic stroke	+/- 0.75 m	
Force Capacity (Tension/Compression)	2.7 MN / 4.2 MN	
Rod diameter	0.3048 m	
Piston Diameter	0.5080 m	
Tension Area	0.1297 m ²	
Compression Area	0.2027 m ²	
Peak Extend Flow Rate	21,890 lt/m	
Peak Retract Flow Rate	14,010 lt/m	





High-Flow High-Performance Servovalves

Servovalves (Qty. 2E + 2W)		
Pilot 2 nd Stage Rating (Manufacturer Moog)	19 lt/min	
Pilot 3 rd Stage Rating	630 lt/min	
4 th Stage Flow Rating	10,000 lt/min (2,5000 gpm)	
Port Area Ratios	1:0.8:0.64:0.5	
Valve Sleeve Windows	1.0 64	



Courtesy of MTS Systems Corporation

Vertical Actuators

VERTICAL ACTUATORS SPECIFICATION			
	1-DOF Configuration	6-DOF Configuration	
Piston Diameter	32 in (0.81 m)	32 in (0.81 m)	
Effective area	798.31 in ² (0.515 m ²)	798.31 in ² (0.515 m ²)	
Piston Stroke	± 0.25 in (± 0.0064 m)	± 5.0 in (± 0.127 m)	
Piston Tilt	N/A	± 2°	
Force Rating	3,000 psi (20.7 MPa)	3,000 psi (20.7 MPa)	
Compression	2,200 kips (10.0 MN)	2,200 kips (10.0 MN)	
Valve Flow	15 gpm (56.8 lit/min)	5,000 gpm (18,927 lit/min)	





Hold-down Struts

Hold-Down Struts (Qty. 2)		
Nitrogen Pressure	13.8 MPa	
Uni-axial Stroke	2 m	
Pin-to-Pin Length	3.3 m	
Hold-down Force	2.1 MN	
Effective Tension Area	0.15 m ²	



Hydraulic Power System

Pumps



Accumulator bank

Hydraulic Power System		
Accumulator swept displacement	7.5 m	
Accumulator bank pressure	35 MPa	
System pressure	20.7 MPa	
Blow-down maximum flow rate	38,000 lt/min	
HPU flow rate @ 35 MPa (5,000 psi)	431 lt/min	
HPU flow rate @ 20.7 MPa (3,000 psi)	718 lt/min	
Surge tank capacity	20,000 lt	





Surge tank

Bare Table Motions





Forced Vibration Tests of the Reaction Mass at the NEES-UCSD Shake Table



Commissioning Tests



Instrument locations on Reaction Block and adjacent foundations

Frequency Response Functions of Reaction Mass



Amplitudes of the EW (a) and vertical (b) frequency response functions of the reaction block for EW excitation. The results shown are based on Test 2 and correspond to scaled displacement amplitudes for a harmonic force of constant amplitude 6.8 MN.

MTS Three-Variable Controller (TVC)

- MTS Controller Model 469D used on all large shake tables manufactured by MTS worldwide.
- TVC is a linear state variable controller. The three state variables controlled by TVC are:
 - Displacement
 - Velocity
 - Acceleration

TVC can be set to run under displacement, velocity or acceleration mode.

- TVC has special features to compensate for linear/nonlinear sources of signal distortions within the system for both harmonic and broadband command signals:
 - Amplitude/phase control
 - Adaptive harmonic cancellation
 - Adaptive inverse control (AIC)
 - On-line iteration (OLI): Iterative signal matching technique
 - Notch filters
- Depending on the control mode, only one state variable becomes the primary control variable with the others serving only as compensation signals to improve the damping and stability of the system.

MTS Three-Variable Controller (TVC)



Systems Corporation

Tuning of LHPOST Controller (MTS 469D)

Tuning: Process of adjusting multiple control parameters (e.g., feedback and feedforward gains) and of preconditioning the input motion (through OLI) to optimize signal reproduction (tracking) capability of the shake table system.

|()H

- Step 1: Iterative process in which the control parameters of the controller are manually adjusted iteratively in small increments while the (bare or loaded) table is in motion, until the total table transfer function (estimated recursively) is deemed satisfactory.
- **Step 2:** Estimation of the inverse model of the plant using the adaptive inverse controller (AIC) technique.
- Step 3: Application of iterative time history matching technique called online iteration (OLI). The command input to the shake table controller (drive file) is repeatedly modified to optimize the match between the actual table motion and the desired/target motion.



Tracking Performance of NHERI@UCSD Shake Table



Tracking Performance of NHERI@UCSD Shake Table

Specimen and safety pedestals as constructed on the shake table platen:



> Total weight on the table: 142 kips

Tracking Performance of NHERI@UCSD Shake Table

1994 Northridge, Mulholland-279 at 90% (iteration 6) (Bare table condition)



Tracking Performance of NHERI@UCSD Shake Table

1994 Northridge, Mulholland-279 at 90% (iteration 6) (Loaded table)



Instrumentation for LHPOST

- Data Acquisition
 - 12 DAQ nodes with 64 channels each sampling up to 500 sps with A/D conversion at 16 bits
- 130 MEMS-based Accelerometers
- 125 Linear Displacement Transducers
- 153 String Potentiometer Displacement Transducers
- Strain Gages (disposable)
- 4 Load Jacks
- 24 Load Cells (0 20,000 lbs).
- 32 Soil Pressure Transducers
- GPS System with RTD_NET Software by Geodetics with 3 Receivers Operating at 50 Hz
- High-Speed Cameras
 - 15 GoPros 1080p, 4 Axis 240Q/241Q video servers streaming, 3 IQeye streaming/time lapse video (all at 30 fps)
- Fully Configured, End-to-End, Live Video Streaming Production System
- Calibration Equipment for Data Acquisition Systems and Sensors





Uniaxial





Selected Set of Specimens tested on the LHPOST





Integrated Experimental-Analytical Approach



Select Large-Scale Shake Table Tests Performed on the LHPOST

Development of a Seismic Design Methodology for Precast Building Diaphragms

PI – Prof. Robert B. Fleischman, University of Arizona






Collapse Vulnerability and Seismic Design of Metal Buildings

PI – Prof. Chia-Ming Uang, UC San Diego



Full-scale Structural and Non-structural Building System Performance During Earthquakes PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego





Exterior Facades

Architectural Precast Concrete Cladding

Balloon-framed

metal stud+EIFS







Full-Scale Structural and Nonstructural Building System Performance – Base Isolated

UC San Diego



Full-Scale Structural and Nonstructural Building System Performance – Fixed Base



Seismic Risk Reduction for Soft-Story Woodframe Buildings PI - Prof. John W. van De Lindt, Colorado State University



Full-scale testing allowed to
validate the new evaluation
techniques and higher
performance levels that were
key to the success of the San
Francisco's mandatory soft
story retrofit program (6000
buildings in San Francisco
undergoing the rigors of that
program)

Seismic Risk Reduction for Soft-Story Woodframe Buildings PI - Prof. John W. van De Lindt, Colorado State University



Large Scale Validation of Seismic Performance of Bridge Columns

PI - Prof. Jose I. Restrepo, UC San Diego





Earthquake and Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings

PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego





Earthquake and Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings

PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego



Earthquake and Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego



Earthquake and Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego



Collaborative Research: A Resilience-based Seismic Design Methodology for Tall Wood Buildings



Collaborative Research: A Resilience-based Seismic Design Methodology for Tall Wood Buildings









UC San Diego JACOBS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

July 2017 Earthquake Shake Tests at UC San Diego

Toward 20-story earthquake-safe buildings made from wood

The tests are being conducted at NHERI@UCSD, the shake table experimental facility at the University of California San Diego funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) as part of its Natural Hazard Engineering Research Infrastructure (NHERI) program.

Use of LHPOST in Combination with Large Soil Boxes



Laminar soil shear box: 6.7m (L) × 3.0m (W) × 4.7m (H) Stiff soil confinement box: 10.0m (L) \times 4.6 or 5.8m (W) \times 7.6m (H)

- To investigate the seismic response of soil-foundation-structural systems
- To complement centrifuge tests in order to validate computational models
- To study the performance of bridge abutments, earth retaining walls, slope stability in hillside construction, and underground structures
- To investigate **soil liquefaction** and its effect on the seismic response of soilfoundation-structural systems

Assembly of Laminar Soil Shear Box



Assembly of Stiff Soil Confinement Box



Disassembly of Stiff Soil Confinement Box



Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Spread Displacements and Soil-Pile Interaction in Multi-Layer Soil Strata PI – Prof. Ahmed Elgamal, UC San Diego





Seismic Performance Tests of Full-Scale Retaining Walls PI – Prof. Patrick Fox UCSD



22 ft. Above Table Elevation





Earthquake Performance of Full-Scale Reinforced Soil Walls PI – Prof. Patrick Fox UCSD



Soil-Foundation-Structure Interaction Test PI – Prof. Marios Panagiotou, UC Berkeley



Staging Facility

- In an effort to increase throughput at the NHERI@UCSD facility, a reinforced concrete staging slab with dimensions of 13.4 m × 8.8 m × 0.914 m deep (44 ft × 30 ft × 3 ft deep) was built near the shake table.
- Small to moderate size specimens (weighing up to 100 tons) can be constructed on the staging area then lifted onto the shake table platen, or partial assembly of components for large specimens can reduce construction time.



Staging Facility



Hybrid Shake Table Testing

> Basic hardware and software in place for real-time hybrid shake-table testing:

- Multi-channel MTS FlexTest controller
- SCRAMNet ring for real-time communication and synchronization of data flow between shake-table controller, FlexTest controller, and real-time target PC running the Matlab/SIMULINK Real-time Workshop and xPC Target software
- Easy integration of OpenSees/OpenFresco open-source software framework
- 50-ton dynamic actuator
- Portable hydraulic power system



Broad Public Dissemination

La estructura incluirá alcladores signicos chilence

temblor that rolled through Los Angeles in 1994. It was the first of two earthquake re-enactments

- Jacobs School of Engineering Communications and Media Relations
- International, National, Regional, and Local Exposure

Anatomy of an Earthquilden Anatomy of a Natural I

y Animals and Natur

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC.COM

VIDEO

Now Playing

Search All Video

Browse By Category

Browse By Program Shop the NGC DVD Store

NATIONALGEOGRAPHIC.COM

EXPLORER

WEDNESDAYS 8P



Need for Upgrade of LHPOST to Multi-Degree-of-Freedom Capabilities

Multi-Directional Nature of Earthquake Ground Motions





Multi-Directional Nature of Earthquake Ground Motions

California,1994 Northridge Earthquake Overpass Bridge Pier



Chile, 2010 Maule Earthquake Alto Rio Building



California,1994 Northridge Earthquake Northridge Overpass



New Zealand, 2011 Christchurch Earthquake



Upgrade to 6 DOF-Configuration

Longitudinal DOF (East-West)

Transversal DOF (South-North)





Vertical DOF (Up-Down)



Upgrade to 6 DOF-Configuration

Roll DOF

Pitch DOF



Yaw DOF





Roll + Pitch DOFs


Six Degree-of-Freedom (6-DOF) Upgrade of LHPOST

Hydraulic Power System for 1-DOF LHPOST

















High-Flow Servovalves for Vertical Actuators





Uni-axial Performance Characteristics of 6-DOF LHPOST

Platen size	12.2 m × 7.6 m (40 ft × 25 ft)							
Frequency Bandwidth	0 – 33 Hz							
Vertical Payload Capacity	20 MN (4,500 kip)							
	Horizontal X	Horizontal X Horizontal Y						
Peak Translational Displacement	±0.89 m (±35 in)	±0.38 m (±15 in)	±0.127 m (±5 in)					
Peak Translational Velocity	2.5 m/sec (100 in/sec)	2.0 m/sec (80 in/sec)	0.6 m/sec (25 in/sec)					
Peak Translational Force*	10.6 MN (2,380 kip)	8.38 MN (1,890 kip)	54.8 MN** (12,300 kip)					
Peak Rotation*	2.5 deg	1.5 deg	4.0 deg					
Peak Rotational Velocity*	21.0 deg/sec	12.4 deg/sec	40.5 deg/sec					
Peak Moment*	37.2 MN-m (27,400 kip-ft)	49.0 MN-m (36,200 kip-ft)	47.0 MN-m (34,600 kip-ft)					
Overturning Moment Capacity	45.1 MN-m (33,200 kip-ft)	50.0 MN-m (36,900 kip-ft)	Table lo					

* peak demand obtained during sinusoidal motions

** peak compressive force in the compression-only vertical actuators

Table loaded with rigid payload of 1,100 kips (5 MN)

New Research Opportunities Made Possible by the Upgraded 6-DOF LHPOST

- Investigate many important aspects of the seismic response behavior of civil infrastructure systems:
 - Effects of three-directional translational ground motions
 - Effects of rotational ground motion components
 - Effects of six-degree-of-freedom earthquake ground motions
- Investigate in full 3D and at large- or full-scale the combined effect of realistic near-field translational and rotational ground motions applied as dynamic excitation to a structural, geotechnical, or soil-foundationstructural system, including the effects of SSI (both kinematic and inertial), nonlinear soil and structural responses, and soil liquefaction.



Geometric interpretation of how horizontal translation and rocking can contribute to the total drift in a simple building during passage of a Rayleigh wave [Trifunac, 2009]

- Understanding inherent damping in structures to settle the issue of which is the best damping model to be used in linear and nonlinear time history analyses.
 - Shake table experiments with 6-DOF seismic base excitation on largescale building specimens with and without non-structural components and systems and large-scale bridge sub-structures (e.g., bridge bents) will guide in the selection of different inherent damping models.
- Experimental study of Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction
 - Kinematic interaction of the foundation with the soil (in the absence of the superstructure)
 - Inertial interaction (resulting in additional rocking and torsional components of motion of the foundation)
- > Three general types of experimental SSI studies become possible:
 - Verification studies under three-axial or six-axial excitation
 - Hybrid tests
 - Large soil box studies under tri-axial or six-axial excitation

- Real-Time Hybrid Shaking Table Testing
 - Expand the complexity of the large-scale geotechnical and structural systems that can be tested.
- > Seismic safety of unreinforced masonry buildings
 - URM walls subjected to uni-axial in-plane forces tend to exhibit a much better performance than under bi-axial seismic loading conditions (out-of-plane collapse).
 - Vertical ground acceleration could also play an important role on the strength capacity (arching mechanism) and stability of URM walls.
- Seismic performance of reinforced concrete and reinforced masonry wall structures
 - Design provisions for RC and reinforced masonry shear walls are primarily based on in-plane horizontal loading tests of wall components.
 - Effects of simultaneous bi-horizontal and vertical ground excitation could play a significant role on the seismic performance of a building with RC or reinforced masonry walls.
 - Multi-axial shake table tests are needed to investigate this problem and to improve current design codes.

- > Non-structural components and systems (NSCs).
 - Architectural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing, or building contents.
 - Improve our understanding of the seismic response of NCSs under multidirectional earthquake excitation.
 - Advance the development of a reliable, unified design strategy accounting for multi-directional earthquake excitation.
- Damage-free, maintenance-free earthquake protective systems (e.g., rocking, self-centering systems), accelerated bridge construction.
 - Investigate the response behavior of these high-performance systems (with complex kinematics) under multi-directional earthquake input excitation.



a set of an effect with the but the second second second second

Benchmarking

	Facility ¹	LHPOST	SRMD	UCB	UNR	SUNY-Buffalo	E-Defense	NIED	ILEE	CGS	Pavia
	Country	USA	USA	USA	USA	USA	Japan	Japan	China	Algeria	Italy
	Max Payload (MN)	20	4	0.75	0.45	1	12	5	0.7	0.6	0.3
	DOFs	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	2	6	4
	Platen Size L x W (m) ²	12.2 x 7.6	4 x 5	6.1 x 6.1	2.8 x 2.8	(2) 3.7 x 3.7	20 x 15	14.5 x 15	(4) 6 x 4	6.1 x 6.1	4.8 x 4.8
r. ir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.00	1.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	1.30	1.00	1.10	2.00
a X	Displacement (± m)	0.89	1.22	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.25	0.50
'. ir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.50	0.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	0.70	1.00	1.10	2.00
7	Displacement (± m)	0.43	0.61	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.15	0.50
Dir	Velocity (± m/s)	0.50	0.25	0.25	1.40	0.75	0.70	0.20	-	1.00	0.50
7-7	Displacement (± m)	0.13	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.50	0.50	-	0.10	0.14

¹Performance metric that matches or exceeds that of the proposed upgraded LHPOST

 2 (#) denotes number of tables, for multi-table facilities (note: UNR also offers (3) 4-DOF tables as well as a new 6-DOF table)



Displacement Limit in the Transverse (North-South) Direction



Blast / Impact Simulator



EES Performance Specifications						
BG Type	Number	Impact Velocity Range	-			
BG25	4	1 – 30 m/s (2 – 67 mph)				
BG50	2	4 – 66 m/s (9 – 140				

- Multi-configurable hydraulic-pneumatic high-speed facility designed to operate in BLAST and LAUNCH modes
 - Can launch debris of various sizes/shapes:
 - Masses of 100 kg (220 lb) at maximum velocity of 140 mph
 - Masses of 400 kg (880 lb) at maximum velocity of 100 mph
- Test specimens can be tied down to strong floor

Blast Loading of Reinforced Concrete Columns





RETROFITTED









Benchmarking

						1			
	Facility ¹	LHPOST	SRMD	UCB	UNR	SUNY-Buffalo	E-Defense	CGS	Pavia
	Country	USA	USA	USA	USA	USA	Japan	Algeria	Italy
	Max Payload (MN)	20	4	0.75	0.45	1	12	0.6	0.3
	DOFs	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4
	Platen Size L x W (m) ²	12.2 x 7.6	4 x 5	6.1 x 6.1	2.8 x 2.8	(2) 3.7 x 3.7	20 x 15	6.1 x 6.1	4.8 x 4.8
Dir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.00	1.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	1.10	2.00
-X	Displacement (± m)	0.89	1.22	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	0.25	0.50
Dir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.50	0.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	1.10	2.00
-,	Displacement (± m)	0.43	0.61	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	0.15	0.50
Dir	Velocity (± m/s)	0.50	0.25	0.25	1.40	0.75	0.70	1.00	0.50
1-Z	Displacement (± m)	0.13	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.50	0.10	0.14

¹ Performance quantity that matches or exceeds that of proposed upgraded LHPOST

² (#) denotes number of tables, for multi-table facilities (note: UNR also offers (3) 4-DOF tables as well as a new 6-DOF)

Comparison with E-Defense



Nakashima, M., et al. "Experiences, accomplishments, lessons, and challenges of E-defense — Tests using world's largest shaking table." *Japan Architectural Review* 1.1 (2018): 4-17.

Benchmarking

	Facility ¹	LHPOST	SRMD	UCB	UNR	SUNY-Buffalo	E-Defense	NIED	ILEE	CGS	Pavia
	Country	USA	USA	USA	USA	USA	Japan	Japan	China	Algeria	Italy
	Max Payload (MN)	20	4	0.75	0.45	1	12	5	0.7	0.6	0.3
	DOFs	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	2	6	4
	Platen Size L x W (m) ²	12.2 x 7.6	4 x 5	6.1 x 6.1	2.8 x 2.8	(2) 3.7 x 3.7	20 x 15	14.5 x 15	(4) 6 x 4	6.1 x 6.1	4.8 x 4.8
r. ir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.00	1.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	1.30	1.00	1.10	2.00
a X	Displacement (± m)	0.89	1.22	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.25	0.50
'. ir	Velocity (± m/s)	3.50	0.80	0.64	1.52	0.75	2.00	0.70	1.00	1.10	2.00
7	Displacement (± m)	0.43	0.61	0.15	0.30	0.15	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.15	0.50
Dir	Velocity (± m/s)	0.50	0.25	0.25	1.40	0.75	0.70	0.20	-	1.00	0.50
7-7	Displacement (± m)	0.13	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.50	0.50	-	0.10	0.14

¹Performance metric that matches or exceeds that of the proposed upgraded LHPOST

 2 (#) denotes number of tables, for multi-table facilities (note: UNR also offers (3) 4-DOF tables as well as a new 6-DOF table)

Conceptual Design of the New Safety Towers



Conceptual Design of the New Safety Towers



Elevation

Full-scale Structural and Non-structural Building System Performance During Earthquakes

PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson UCSD



Seismic Performance Assessment and Retrofit of Non-Ductile RC Frames with Infill Walls Phase I PI – Prof. Benson Shing UCSD





A Seismic Study of Wind Turbines for Renewable Energy

PI – Prof. Ahmed Elgamal, UC San Diego



A Seismic Study of Wind Turbines for Renewable Energy

PI – Prof. Ahmed Elgamal, UC San Diego



Nonstructural Components & Systems (NCSs): Knowledge Gaps, Efforts & Impact

NCSs: Architectural, mechanical/ **Issues:** electrical/plumbing, contents Designed for functionality Role & Significance Lightweight & low stiffness Low damping (lack protection) 100% Damaged at intensities much lower than that which would 8% 13% 18% damage primary structure 80% 60% **Consequences:** 40% > 80% for buildings Major problems during rescue operations Loss of functionality, facility downtime 20% Excessive \$ losses Office Hotel Hospital Threaten life safety Nonstructural Structural

Total Building Test Program (BNCS)



Large body of important nonstructural component test data, however, these cannot:

- Capture interaction with building system
- Capture interaction with other NCSs

- Capture dynamic environment of building
- Numerous types, config., attachments remain unstudied
LSCB – Large Soil Confinement Box PI – Prof. Patrick Fox, UC San Diego



• LSCB Dimensions: 15 ft or 19 ft width × 33 ft length × 25 ft height

Inertial Force – Limiting Anchorage Systems for Seismic Resistant Building Structures

PI - Prof. Robert B. Fleischman, University of Arizona









Improving Seismic Performance of Partially Grouted Reinforced Masonry Construction

PI - Prof. P. Benson Shing, UC San Diego



1940 El Centro Earthquake at 214%

Test date: 4/22/2014











North-East Inside View [t=0.033s]



South View [t=0.033s]

Earthquake Performance of Full-Scale Reinforced Soil Walls PI – Prof. Patrick Fox UCSD



Earthquake Performance of Full-Scale Reinforced Soil Walls PI – Prof. Patrick Fox UCSD



Staging Facility

- In an effort to increase throughput at the NHERI@UCSD facility, a reinforced concrete staging slab with dimensions of 13.4 m × 8.8 m × 0.914 m deep (44 ft × 30 ft × 3 ft deep) was built near the shake table.
- Small to moderate size specimens (weighing up to 100 tons) can be constructed on the staging area then lifted onto the shake table platen, or partial assembly of components for large specimens can reduce construction time.



Staging Facility



Bare Table Commissioning Tests



Seismic Response of a Seven Story Building PI – Prof. Jose I. Restrepo, UC San Diego



EQ4:











Performance-based Seismic Design Methods for Reinforced Masonry Shear-Wall Structures PI – Prof. Benson Shing, UC San Diego





Large Scale Validation of Seismic Performance of Bridge Columns

PI - Prof. Jose I. Restrepo, UC San Diego









Seismic Performance of Full-Scale Reinforced Soil Walls

PI – Prof. Patrick Fox, UCSD



22 ft. Above Table Elevation





Earthquake Performance of Full-Scale Reinforced Soil Walls PI – Prof. Patrick Fox, UC San Diego



Earthquake and Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings PI – Prof. Tara Hutchinson, UC San Diego







Earthquake & Post-Earthquake Fire Performance of Mid-Rise Light-Gauge Cold-Formed Steel Framed Buildings

Compilation of Select Earthquake & Post-Earthquake Fire Scenarios



Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) and Tall Wood Buildings





UC San Diego JACOBS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING

July 2017 Earthquake Shake Tests at UC San Diego

Toward 20-story earthquake-safe buildings made from wood

The tests are being conducted at NHERI@UCSD, the shake table experimental facility at the University of California San Diego funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) as part of its Natural Hazard Engineering Research Infrastructure (NHERI) program.

Current Hydraulic Power System

Pumps



Accumulator bank



Hydraulic Power System									
Accumulator swept displacement	7.5 m								
Accumulator bank pressure	35 MPa								
System pressure	20.7 MPa								
Blow-down maximum flow rate	38,000 lt/min								
HPU flow rate @ 35 MPa	431 lt/min								
HPU flow rate @ 20.7 MPa	718 lt/min								
Surge tank capacity	20,000 lt								



Surge tank

Hydraulic Power System for 6-DOF LHPOST



Value of the LHPOST to the State of California

Value of LHPOST to the State of California

- Increase the State of California's ability to:
 - Save lives
 - Improve emergency response
 - Reduce losses

in future destructive earthquakes.

- Increase the seismic resilience of the State of California.
- Large-scale experimental research is needed to better understand the effects of seismic excitation on our infrastructure and how to increase its seismic resilience.
 - "identifying an achievable path forward toward improving the safety of earthquake-vulnerable buildings" (Governor Brown's recent veto of Bill 2681)
- Seismic validation & qualification tests required for:
 - Seismic retrofit strategies
 - Nuclear industry
 - Health industry (OSHPD)
 - CA High-Speed Rail Project
 - Tunnels/levees (e.g., Delta)

Value of LHPOST to the State of California

- Seismic qualification tests require multi-directional seismic excitation.
 - Large hospital equipment cannot be qualified anywhere in the nation
- **Education**:
 - Students
 - Engineers
 - News media
 - Policy/Decision makers
 - Infrastructure owners
 - Insurance
 - General public (seismic performance provided by the design codes)
- Reduce the need to transfer large-scale shake table tests (e.g., CA utility companies) and research projects to other countries (e.g., Japan, China, South Korea) at a much higher cost.

Tri-axial Strong Ground Motion Records Used for Preliminary Design of 6-DOF Upgraded LHPOST

	Station Name		PGA (g)			PGV (m/s)			PGD (m)			High	
Event Name		Μ	EW	NS	UP	EW	NS	UP	EW	NS	UP	pass freq. (Hz)	
Tabas, 1978	Tabas, Iran	7.4	0.97	0.88	0.72	1.0	0.87	0.33	0.62	0.33	0.11	0.16	☆
Chi-Chi, Taiwan, 1999 🔲 📥	TCU065	7.6	0.72	0.49	0.23	0.82	0.73	0.38	0.36	0.24	0.10	0.25	☆
Kobe, 1995	Takatori, Japan	6.9	0.62	0.67	0.28	1.21	1.23	0.16	0.40	0.30	0.04	0.125	
Northridge, 1994	Rinaldi Receiving Station	6.7	0.87	0.47	0.96	1.48	0.75	0.42	0.42	0.23	0.04	0.10	
Nepal, 2015	Kathmandu, Nepal	7.8	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.43	0.40	0.26	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.25	*
AC-156 compa	atible earthquake O	-	0.96	1.01	0.71	1.13	1.04	0.77	0.22	0.21	0.12	0.70	





Intra-plate





EW component uses near total flow capacity for 1-DOF LHPOST



Artificial

Long duration inter-plate

Thrust
Collaborative Research: A Resilience-based Seismic Design Methodology for Tall Wood Buildings



• Main contact:

Dr. Shiling Pei, Colorado School of Mines, spei@mines.edu

A Six-University Collaboration to **develop** and **validate** resilient tall wood building design methodology.

Colorado

WASHINGTON STATE

LEHIGH

Project Website: Nheritallwood.mines.edu



UNIVERSITY of

WASHINGTON

A full-scaled 2-story mass timber building with CLT rocking walls (July 2017 NHERI@UCSD)







Outline

- Overview of Englekirk Structural Engineering Center (ESEC) and NHERI@UCSD Shake Table Experimental Facility (LHPOST)
- Select Large-Scale Shake Table Tests Performed on the LHPOST
- Need for Upgrade of LHPOST to Multi-Degree-of-Freedom Capabilities
- Six Degree-of-Freedom (6-DOF) Upgrade of Shake Table
- New Research Opportunities Made Possible by the 6-DOF LHPOST

Outline

- Overview of NHERI@UC San Diego Shake Table Experimental Facility
 - Description of Facility
 - Performance Characteristics
 - Capabilities and Limitations
- Shake Table Dynamics and Control
 - Sources of Signal Distortion
 - Shake Table Controller
 - Fidelity in Signal Reproduction
- Select Set of Large-Scale Shake Table Tests Performed on the NHERI@UC San Diego Shake Table
- Six Degree-of-Freedom (6-DOF) Upgrade of Shake Table
- New Research Opportunities Made Possible by the 6-DOF LHPOST